UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
JASON JONES,	X :
Plaintiff, v.	: COURT EXHIBIT 3A: : VERDICT FORM : 15-CV-4435 (WFK) (JRC)
MAYFLOWER INTERNATIONAL HOTEL GROUP, INC. d/b/a HOWARD JOHNSON LONG ISLAND CITY and JACK CHANG,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Defendants.	; v
Nothing in the Verdict Form is meant to suggest the responsibility to decide the verdict. Please an	what your verdict should be. You alone have
PART I. L.	IABILITY
Claim No. 1: Discrimination Under Title VII	
Question 1	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the origin discrimination under Title VII against Defe	
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, pro	sceed to the next question.)
Claim No. 2: Discrimination Under New York	c City Human Rights Law
Question 2	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the national origin discrimination under New York C Mayflower?	
YESNO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, pro	ceed to the next question.)

Question 3	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of race, color, and/or no origin discrimination under New York City Human Rights Law against Defendant Jack Ch	
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, proceed to the next question.)	
Claim No. 3: Hostile Work Environment Under New York City Human Rights Law	
Question 4	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work envirounder New York City Human Rights Law against Defendant Mayflower?	nment
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, proceed to the next question.)	
Question 5	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of hostile work environment of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence of the provence of the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence of the provence of the provence of the evidence of the evidence of the provence of the evidence of the	nmen
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, proceed to the next question.)	
Claim No. 4: Retaliation Under New York City Human Rights Law	
Question 6	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of retaliation under Nev City Human Rights Law against Defendant Mayflower?	v York
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, proceed to the next question.)	
Question 7	
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a claim of retaliation under Nev City Human Rights Law against Defendant Jack Chang?	v York
YES NO	
(Regardless of how you answer this question, proceed to the next question.)	

PART II. DAMAGES

Question 8

	preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to compensatory pay from Defendant Mayflower?
YES NO	
If you answered "Yes," state t	the amount:
\$ 17,200	
Question 9	
damages in the form of back p	preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to compensatory pay from Defendant Jack Chang?
YES NO	
If you answered "Yes," state	the amount:
\$	
Question 10	
* *	preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to compensatory ital anguish, and emotional distress from Defendant Mayflower?
YESNO	
If you answered "Yes," state	the amount:
\$ 50,000	
Question 11	
	preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to compensatory ntal anguish, and emotional distress from Defendant Jack Chang?
YES NO	
If you answered "Yes," state	the amount:
\$	

Question 12
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to nominal damages from Defendant Mayflower?
YES NO
If you answered "Yes," state the amount:
\$
Question 13
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to nominal damages from Defendant Jack Chang?
YES NO
If you answered "Yes," state the amount:
\$
Question 14
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to punitive damages from Defendant Mayflower?
YES NO
If you answered "Yes," state the amount:
\$ 607,800
Question 15
Did the Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to punitive damages from Defendant Jack Chang?
YES NO

* * *

If you answered "Yes," state the amount:

\$ 1,00000

The Jury Foreperson should date and sign this Special Verdict Form and return to the Courtroom

Dated December 8, 2023

Signed:

Jury Foreperson